Introduction

For almost a decade, governments and organizations have spent resources in an attempt to bridge the digital divide. Many of these efforts have focused on giving access to computers and the Internet. Some even included attempts at computer skills training. (Kirschenbau & Kunammni 2001). However, skills become obsolete with new technologies. So while specific skills may be helpful, an understanding of the underlying concepts is necessary for individuals to continue to expand their knowledge and adapt to new information technologies (Lin 2000). The emphasis on technology and access has masked some important aspects of the digital divide, including availability and production of relevant content, developing information communities, and understanding of the applications of technology.

What is Information Literacy?

The dictionary definition of literacy includes an ability to read and write; having some skill or competence; and an element of learning (Bawden 2001). However, the concept of literacy has always been relative. To be literate in day-to-day life or to be literate in oceanography have different meanings. One can be functionally illiterate and still function in society. Can one be functionally illiterate as a scientist? Snavely and Cooper (1997) identified 34 examples of specific types of literacy, including agricultural literacy, workplace literacy, and geographic literacy. There have probably been many more named since their study in 1997.

In library or bibliographic instruction we may see the beginnings of information literacy. For many years librarians have tried to teach users to be self-sufficient in the information provided by a library. In recent years, that concept has expanded to include all information sources.
Information literacy is not a thing. Building on the literacies identified by the Institute for Information Literacy (2002) and Shapiro and Hughes (1996), a definition of information literacy includes:

**Tool Literacy** -- The ability to evaluate (critical thinking) and effectively use print and electronic resources, including software and multimedia.

**Skill Literacy** -- The ability to use the technology needed to access information resources and knowing the capabilities of a computer.

**Resource Literacy** -- The ability to understand the form, structure, location and access methods of information resources.

**Social-Structural Literacy** -- Knowledge of how information is produced and published.

**Research Literacy** -- The ability to understand and use information technology tools to carry on research and scholarship.

**Publishing Literacy** -- The ability to produce a text or multimedia report to communicate information and the results of research and scholarship.

**Emerging Technology Literacy** -- The ability to understand innovations in information technology and to make intelligent decisions about the applications of those technologies to an individual’s scholarship.

**Critical Literacy** -- The ability to evaluate the benefits and costs of implementing information technologies.

Information literacy is more than a narrow cognitive skill. It is a set of social practices which requires a variety of resources, including physical artifacts (books, computers, telephone lines, satellites, etc); relevant content transmitted using those artifacts; skills to use and evaluate the content; knowledge and attitude; and community support (Warschauer 2002).

**What has Been Holding us Back?**

While the lack of infrastructure has held many areas back, the notion that there are absolute haves and have-nots is misleading. Cisler (2000) thinks that there is a gradation based on different degrees of access to information technology. Compare the scientist with broadband access to her desktop, the scientist with a computer hooked up to a 56K modem, the scientist who has access two hours a day to the departmental computer, and the scientist with no computer access but a network of friends throughout the world who print and send him information from the Internet. All can be said to have access to the information on the Internet, but to widely varying degrees. Even within the most developed countries there are inequities of access.
U.N. Secretary General Kofi Annan reported that the Internet is used by only 5% of the world’s population and that some 85% of all uses and 90% of all hosts are in developed countries (Conhaim 2001). The lack of local language content and context have had an impact on use of technology even in areas where the technology has been accessible. With over 7,000 languages in the world, in 1997 over 90% of web pages were in English (82.3%), German (4.0%), Japanese (1.6%), French (1.5%), and Spanish (1.1%) (Anon 1997). The majority of Internet users are in the United States, Japan, China, the United Kingdom, Germany, South Korea, Canada and Italy (Aneki.com).

Fear of technology and fear of change have also been large contributing factors, which in turn has lead to an increased isolation of those who are not information literate. While some think this will change as technology is introduced and integrated into different cultures, this would become a several-generation undertaking.

**What do our Scientists, Researchers, Students Need?**

Many of our users still need infrastructure. In many areas there is little infrastructure to build on. Some think this may be an advantage which allows for the incorporation of new technologies that are not dependent on the existing systems. This concept, “technological leapfrogging,” means that the next-generation technology is implemented rather than trying to improve the current, sometimes outdated technology currently in place (Vowler 2002).

They need financial support to obtain and update the equipment and software, to retain qualified professionals to work on the equipment, to train professionals on the methods of information research, and to subscribe to information resources. Many initiatives start out with the best of intentions to implement technology to strengthen the research capacity but lack sustainability. All institutions face the challenge of maintaining and advancing the technology they have.

Equally, if not more important, our users need to be independent users of computers to accomplish their day-to-day tasks. They should not have to rely on librarians for instruction on how to find appropriate information with the computer or with the information technology staff for basic assistance when things go wrong with the technology.

We may want to provide the most up-to-date technologies and a wide range of information resources. As information professionals we need to keep in mind that the level of technology needed is not a universal standard. Many users don’t want to be at the “bleeding edge” of technology and can use lower levels of technology to meet their needs.
What Should Success Look Like?

First, there will be widespread access to network connectivity, including bandwidth, software and hardware, at affordable rates. Policies and regulations will be in place supporting eStrategies in all countries. Expert local people will be able to handle equipment and new technology (Rodriguez 2001). Equipment will be affordable by the average institution. In 2000 in Bangladesh it took 96 months of the average worker’s salary to purchase a computer, while in North America it took only one month’s salary to purchase the same equipment (Rodriquez 2001). Consistent power sources will be available. This includes alternate power sources such as solar power cells.

Second, information technology training will be an integral part of the education and continuing education process. We will have interesting programs that teach those who think they already know all about information access and dissemination, as well as those who are marginally information literate.

Third, local content and applications will be developed and widely available among countries, through initiatives such as the Open Knowledge Network and the SIDSNet (Small Island Developing States Net). Initiatives should be driven by the demand for information and the desire to share information. Content should meet local needs first. Initiatives need to be sensitive to local conditions and limitations. (Digital Opportunity Initiative) Dr Gro Harlem Brundtland, WHO Director General observed that through the Health Internetwork project, researchers and scientists will begin to “read the same journals, search the same databases, join in the same discussion groups, compete for the same grants; it will bring them into the international community of researchers and eventually improve the dissemination of their own results”

Fourth, online communities will flourish regardless of location or language. Increased communication will facilitate coordinated research activities, sharing of information and data, and sharing of experience to the benefit of science.

Fifth, our users will understand that research is a process, not just a few keystrokes on the Internet search engine.
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